

245(2): Electromagnetic Experiments to Determine the Photon Mass

From note 157(a) it follows that there exists a vacuum current:

$$J_{\mu}^a = -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 A_{\mu}^a \quad - (1)$$

which depends on the existence of photon mass m , and the vacuum four potential A_{μ}^a . For each sense of polarization:

$$J_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 A_{\mu} \quad - (2)$$

This gives rise to the vacuum charge density:

$$\rho = -\frac{A^{(0)}}{\mu_0 c} \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \quad - (3)$$

for positive values of:

$$k^2 = \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \quad - (4)$$

then:

$$\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 = \mu_0 c \left| \frac{f}{A^{(0)}} \right|^2 = \mu_0 c^3 \left| \frac{f}{\phi} \right|^2$$

- (5)

So the Coulomb Law is not changed by photon mass.

It is:

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{E} &= \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} (\rho + \rho(\text{vacuum})) \\ &= \frac{1}{\epsilon_0} \rho(\text{total}) \quad - (6)\end{aligned}$$

and the inverse square law between charge is not
changed by photon mass.

S. I. Units

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_0 &= \text{J s}^2 \text{C}^{-2} \text{m}^{-1}, \quad \epsilon_0 = \text{J}^{-1} \text{C}^2 \text{m}^{-1} \\ \underline{E} &= \text{J C}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}, \quad \underline{B} = \text{J s C}^{-1} \text{m}^{-2}, \quad \underline{A} = \text{J s C}^{-1} \text{m}^{-1}, \\ \rho &= \text{C m}^{-3}.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly:

$$\underline{J} = -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \underline{A} \quad - (7)$$

and the vacuum current is:

$$\underline{J}(\text{vacuum}) = -\frac{1}{\mu_0} \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar} \right)^2 \underline{A}(\text{vacuum}) \quad - (8)$$

s. the Ampère Maxwell law is:

$$\begin{aligned}\underline{\nabla} \times \underline{H} - \frac{\partial \underline{D}}{\partial t} &= \underline{J} + \underline{J}(\text{vacuum}) \\ &= \underline{J}(\text{total}) \quad - (9)\end{aligned}$$

Therefore experiments designed to detect the

3) photon mass using electromagnetic method will not be successful, because the laws are not changed by photon mass.

In the dispersion of light by photon mass, we have:

$$E^2 = p^2 c^2 + m^2 c^4 \quad (10)$$

where m is the photon mass. So:

$$\frac{E^2}{c^2} - p^2 = m^2 c^2 \quad (11)$$

Now use:

$$E = \hbar \omega, \quad p = \hbar \kappa \quad (12)$$

to obtain:

$$\omega^2 - c^2 \kappa^2 = \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 c^2 \quad (13)$$

where

$$\left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2 = \mu_0 c^2 \left|\frac{\rho}{\phi}\right|^2 \quad (14)$$

The photon mass is determined by the ratio ρ/ϕ of charge density to scalar potential of vacuum.

The phase velocity is:

$$v_1 = \frac{\omega}{\kappa} = c \left(1 - \frac{m^2 c^2}{\omega^2}\right)^{-1/2} \quad (15)$$

and the group velocity is:

$$v_2 = \frac{d\omega}{d\kappa} = c \left(1 - \frac{m^2 c^2}{\omega^2}\right)^{1/2} \quad (16)$$

4) If (ρ/ϕ) vacuum is very small, the phase and group velocity of light in deep space are about the same. The tests of photon mass are as follows.

- 1) A.S. Goldhaber and M.M. Nieto, Rev. Mod. Phys., 43, 277 (1971),
Speed of light, $< 5.6 \times 10^{-42}$ gm.
- 2) E.R. Williams and J.E. Faller and H.A.H. ϕ , Phys. Rev. Lett.,
26, 721 (1971), Coulomb Law, $< 1.6 \times 10^{-47}$ gm.
- 3) M.A. Clemens, C.S. Gerber and H.R.olt and H.S. Gerber,
68, 3383 (1992), Ampere's Law, $< 8.4 \times 10^{-46}$ gm.
- 4) L. de Broglie, La Mécanique Ondulatoire du photon vol 1, pp.
39-40 (1940, Hermann, Paris) $< 0.8 \times 10^{-39}$ gm, dispersion
of starlight.
- 5) G. Feidenz, Science, 166, 879 (1969), dispersion of starlight
 $< 10^{-44}$ gm.
- 6) B.E. Schaefer, Phys. Rev. Lett., 82, 4964 (1999), dispersion
of gamma bursts, $< 4.2 \times 10^{-44}$ gm.
- 7) L. Davies, A.S. Goldhaber and M.M. Nieto, Phys. Rev.
Lett., 35, 1402 (1975), Analysis of Jupiter's magnetic field,
 $< 10^{-49}$ gm.
- 8) E. Fischbach, H. Kloor, R.A. Langel and A.T.Y. Lui
and M. Persado, Phys. Rev. Lett., 73, 514 (1994),
Analysis of Earth's Magnetic field, $< 1.0 \times 10^{-48}$ gm.
- 9) P.P. Ryutov, Plasma Phys., 39A, 73 (1997) solar
wind magnetic field and plasma, $< 10^{-49}$ gm.
- 10) M.A. Buttsburg, Astron. Zh., 40, 703 (1963), analysis
of geomagnetic field, 3×10^{-48} g.
- 11) V.L. Patel, Phys. Lett., 14, 105 (1965) Alfer Wars
L. Eard, Magnetosphere $< 4 \times 10^{-47}$ gm.
- 12) J.V. Hollweg, Phys. Rev. Lett., 32, 961 (1974)
Magnetosphere and any media, $< 1.3 \times 10^{-48}$ gm.

- 5)
- 13) A. Duns and J. D. Scargle, Phys. Rev. Lett., 35, 1117 (1975), $< 3 \times 10^{-50} \text{ gm}$ Hydro-magnetic waves
 - 14) P. de Bernardis, S. Meriand and F. Melchioni and A. Moletti, Astrophys. J., 284, L21 (1984), Cosmic Background, $3 \times 10^{-51} \text{ gm}$.
 - 15) E. Williams and D. Park, Phys. Rev. Lett., 26, 1651 (1971) Galactic Magnetic Field, $< 3 \times 10^{-56} \text{ gm}$.
 - 16) G. V. Chibijov, Usp. Fiz. Nauk., 119, 551 (1976), Stability of galaxies, $< 10^{-60} \text{ gm}$.

Almost all of these experiments depended on the interpretation of the tubane potential, but the method of this note shows that they can all be reinterpreted w/ eq. (14). The tubane potential is disregarded as unphysical.

In contrast to heavy photon is estimated from kinematics and de Broglie Euler equations, and does not use classical electrodynamics.

The only experiments that need further investigation are those used in the torsion balance and stability of galaxies.
