

313 (6): Complete Details of the Proof of the Second Bianchi Identity from the Jacobi Identity.

The Jacobi identity is:

$$([\mathcal{D}_\rho, [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu]] + [\mathcal{D}_\nu, [\mathcal{D}_\rho, \mathcal{D}_\mu]] + [\mathcal{D}_\mu, [\mathcal{D}_\nu, \mathcal{D}_\rho]]) \nabla^k = 0 \quad (1)$$

and is an exact identity of group theory.

Consider the first term:

$$[\mathcal{D}_\rho, [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu]] \nabla^k = \mathcal{D}_\rho ([\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] \nabla^k) - [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] \mathcal{D}_\rho \nabla^k \quad (2)$$

and we:

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] \nabla^k = R^k{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \nabla^\lambda - T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}_\lambda \nabla^k \quad (3)$$

The conventional second Bianchi identity on its torsion, so

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] \nabla^k = ? R^k{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \nabla^\lambda \quad (4)$$

This is erroneous, but for the sake of illustration eqs (2) and (4) give:

$$[\mathcal{D}_\rho, [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu]] \nabla^k = \mathcal{D}_\rho R^k{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \nabla^\lambda - [\mathcal{D}_\mu, \mathcal{D}_\nu] \mathcal{D}_\rho \nabla^k \quad (5)$$

The second term on the right hand side is

2)

evaluated using

$$\begin{aligned}
 [D_\rho, D_\sigma] X^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} &= -T^\lambda_{\rho\sigma} D_\lambda X^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} \\
 &+ R^\mu_{\lambda\rho\sigma} X^{\lambda\mu_2 \dots \mu_k} + R^\mu_{\lambda\rho\sigma} X^{\mu_1 \lambda \dots \mu_k} + \dots \\
 &- R^\lambda_{\nu\rho\sigma} X^{\mu_1 \dots \mu_k} - R^\lambda_{\nu\rho\sigma} X^{\mu_1 \lambda \dots \mu_k} - \dots
 \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

Eq. (6) defines the effect of the commutator on any tensor (recall chapter three). It can be seen that the commutator always produces torsion, but this is neglected in the conventional derivation.

From eq. (6), neglecting torsion:

$$[D_\rho, D_\sigma] X^{\mu_1} = R^\mu_{\lambda\rho\sigma} X^{\lambda\mu_1} - R^\lambda_{\nu\rho\sigma} X^{\mu_1 \lambda} \tag{7}$$

so:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] D_\rho V^{\lambda\tau} = R^\tau_{\lambda\mu\nu} D_\rho V^{\lambda\tau} - R^\lambda_{\rho\mu\nu} D_\lambda V^{\lambda\tau} \tag{8}$$

This is sometimes known as the Ricci identity.

Therefore it is found that a cyclic sum of terms such as in eqs. (5) and (8) gives:

3)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ([D_\rho, [D_\mu, D_\nu]] + [D_\nu, [D_\rho, D_\mu]] + [D_\mu, [D_\nu, D_\rho]]) \nabla^\kappa \\
 &= (D_\rho R^\kappa_{\lambda\mu\nu} + D_\nu R^\kappa_{\lambda\rho\mu} + D_\mu R^\kappa_{\lambda\nu\rho}) \nabla^\lambda \\
 &\quad + (R^\kappa_{\lambda\mu\nu} + R^\kappa_{\nu\mu\lambda} + R^\kappa_{\lambda\nu\mu}) D_\rho \nabla^\lambda \\
 &\quad - (R^\lambda_{\rho\mu\nu} + R^\lambda_{\nu\rho\mu} + R^\lambda_{\mu\nu\rho}) D_\lambda \nabla^\kappa = 0 \quad (9)
 \end{aligned}$$

By the first Bianchi identity the second two terms are both zero, so:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ([D_\rho, [D_\mu, D_\nu]] + [D_\nu, [D_\rho, D_\mu]] + [D_\mu, [D_\nu, D_\rho]]) \nabla^\kappa \\
 &= (D_\rho R^\kappa_{\lambda\mu\nu} + D_\nu R^\kappa_{\lambda\rho\mu} + D_\mu R^\kappa_{\lambda\nu\rho}) \nabla^\lambda = 0 \quad (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

Q.E.D

Finally, it is assumed in the usual literature that:

$$D_\rho R^\kappa_{\lambda\mu\nu} + D_\nu R^\kappa_{\lambda\rho\mu} + D_\mu R^\kappa_{\lambda\nu\rho} = 0 \quad (11)$$

However, here is a summation over repeated λ which is the correct expression (10). Eq. (11) is the second Bianchi identity used by Einstein, but it is incorrect due to neglect of torsion.

4) Calculation with Torsion

This must proceed as follows:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] \nabla^\kappa = R^\kappa{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \nabla^\lambda - T^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} D_\lambda \nabla^\kappa \quad (12)$$

and:

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] D_\rho \nabla^\kappa = R^\kappa{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} D_\rho \nabla^\lambda - R^\lambda{}_{\rho\mu\nu} D_\lambda \nabla^\kappa - T^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} D_\lambda D_\rho \nabla^\kappa \quad (13)$$

Eq. (13) is the correct expression for the Ricci

identity.

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} [D_\rho, [D_\mu, D_\nu]] \nabla^\kappa &= D_\rho ([D_\mu, D_\nu] \nabla^\kappa) + [D_\mu, D_\nu] (D_\rho \nabla^\kappa) \\ &= D_\rho (R^\kappa{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} \nabla^\lambda - T^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} D_\lambda \nabla^\kappa) \\ &\quad + R^\kappa{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} D_\rho \nabla^\lambda - R^\lambda{}_{\rho\mu\nu} D_\lambda \nabla^\kappa - T^\lambda{}_{\mu\nu} D_\lambda D_\rho \nabla^\kappa \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

in which:

$$5) D_\rho (T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda D_\lambda V^{\kappa}) = D_\rho T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda (D_\lambda V^{\kappa}) + T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda (D_\rho D_\lambda V^{\kappa}) \quad - (15)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} [D_\rho, [D_\mu, D_\nu]] V^{\kappa} &= D_\rho R^{\kappa}{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} V^\lambda + R^{\kappa}{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} D_\rho V^\lambda \\ &- R^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu\nu} D_\lambda V^{\kappa} - (D_\rho T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda) (D_\lambda V^{\kappa}) \\ &- T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda [D_\rho, D_\lambda] V^{\kappa} \quad - (16) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the Jacobi Curvature tensor identity

is:

$$\begin{aligned} &(D_\rho R^{\kappa}{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} + D_\nu R^{\kappa}{}_{\lambda\rho\mu} + D_\mu R^{\kappa}{}_{\lambda\nu\rho}) V^\lambda \\ &:= (D_\rho T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + D_\nu T_{\rho\mu}^\lambda + D_\mu T_{\nu\rho}^\lambda) D_\lambda V^{\kappa} \\ &+ (T_{\mu\nu}^\lambda [D_\rho, D_\lambda] + T_{\rho\mu}^\lambda [D_\nu, D_\lambda] \\ &\quad + T_{\nu\rho}^\lambda [D_\mu, D_\lambda]) V^{\kappa} \quad - (17) \end{aligned}$$

This is the same result as eq. (10) of previous note with the addition of a new term on the right hand side.

6) This is made up of terms such as:

$$[D_\rho, D_\lambda] \nabla^k = R^k{}_{\rho\lambda} \nabla^d - T^d{}_{\rho\lambda} D_d \nabla^k \quad (18)$$

So:

$$(T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} [D_\rho, D_\lambda] + T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} [D_\nu, D_\lambda] + T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} [D_\mu, D_\lambda]) \nabla^k$$

$$= T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} R^k{}_{\rho\lambda} \nabla^d - T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} T^d{}_{\rho\lambda} D_d \nabla^k \quad (19)$$

$$+ \dots$$

$$= T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} (R^k{}_{\rho\lambda} \nabla^d - T^d{}_{\rho\lambda} D_d \nabla^k)$$

$$+ T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} (R^k{}_{\nu\lambda} \nabla^d - T^d{}_{\nu\lambda} D_d \nabla^k)$$

$$+ T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} (R^k{}_{\mu\lambda} \nabla^d - T^d{}_{\mu\lambda} D_d \nabla^k)$$

Therefore the JEC identity is:

$$(D_\rho R^k{}_{\lambda\mu\nu} + D_\nu R^k{}_{\lambda\rho\mu} + D_\mu R^k{}_{\lambda\rho\nu}) \nabla^\lambda$$

$$= (D_\rho T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} + D_\nu T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} + D_\mu T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\nu}) D_\lambda \nabla^k$$

$$+ (T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} R^k{}_{\rho\lambda} + T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} R^k{}_{\nu\lambda} + T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} R^k{}_{\mu\lambda}) \nabla^d$$

$$- (T^{\lambda}{}_{\mu\nu} T^d{}_{\rho\lambda} + T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} T^d{}_{\nu\lambda} + T^{\lambda}{}_{\rho\mu} T^d{}_{\mu\lambda}) D_d \nabla^k \quad (20)$$

7) However, as in UFT109 - it can be shown that:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} T_{\rho\lambda}^{\alpha} + T_{\rho\mu}^{\lambda} T_{\alpha\lambda}^{\nu} + T_{\alpha\rho}^{\lambda} T_{\mu\lambda}^{\nu} = 0 \quad (21)$$

and:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} R_{\rho\lambda}^{\kappa} + T_{\rho\mu}^{\lambda} R_{\alpha\nu\lambda}^{\kappa} + T_{\alpha\rho}^{\lambda} R_{\mu\lambda}^{\kappa} = 0 \quad (22)$$

so we obtain the same result as the previous

note:

$$\begin{aligned} & (D_{\rho} R^{\kappa}_{\lambda\mu\nu} + D_{\alpha} R^{\kappa}_{\lambda\mu\nu} + D_{\mu} R^{\kappa}_{\lambda\nu\rho}) \nabla^{\lambda} \\ & = (D_{\rho} T^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu} + D_{\alpha} T^{\lambda}_{\rho\mu} + D_{\mu} T^{\lambda}_{\alpha\rho}) \nabla^{\lambda} \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

It is by no means easy to derive the second Bianchi identity from Jacobi identity, and it almost all textbooks, it is incorrectly omitted.

