

316(4) : Development of the Vector Theory for the Magnetic Monopole.

Consider the Cartan identity:

$$D_{\mu} T_{\nu\rho}^a + D_{\rho} T_{\mu\nu}^a + D_{\nu} T_{\rho\mu}^a := R_{\mu\nu\rho}^a + R_{\rho\mu\nu}^a + R_{\nu\rho\mu}^a. \quad (1)$$

With the definitions:

$$F_{\nu\rho}^a = A^{(0)} T_{\nu\rho}^a \quad (2)$$

and

$$F_{\mu\nu\rho}^a = W^{(0)} T_{\mu\nu\rho}^a \quad (3)$$

eq. (1) becomes: -(4)

$$D_{\mu} F_{\nu\rho}^a + D_{\rho} F_{\mu\nu}^a + D_{\nu} F_{\rho\mu}^a := \frac{A^{(0)}}{W^{(0)}} (F_{\mu\nu\rho}^a + F_{\rho\mu\nu}^a + F_{\nu\rho\mu}^a)$$

The vector notation eq. (1) is: -(5)

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{I}^a(\text{spin}) + \underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{I}^b(\text{spin}) = \underline{\omega}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a_b(\text{spin})$$

Now use: $\underline{B}^a = A^{(0)} \underline{I}^a(\text{spin}) \quad (6)$

and $\underline{B}^a_b = W^{(0)} \underline{R}^a_b(\text{spin}) \quad (7)$

to find that:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B}^a + \underline{\omega}^a_b \cdot \underline{B}^a = \left(\frac{A^{(0)}}{W^{(0)}} \right) \underline{\omega}^b \cdot \underline{B}^a_b \quad (8)$$

1) Define: $\underline{A}^b = A^{(0)} \underline{v}^b - (9)$

So $\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B}^a = \frac{1}{W^{(0)}} \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{B}^a - \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{B}^b - (10)$

This is the magnetic monopole equation. The magnetic monopole can be defined as:

$$\rho_B = \frac{1}{W^{(0)}} \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{B}^a - \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{B}^b - (11)$$

The tangent index a can be removed from \underline{B}^a

using: $\underline{B} = -e_a \underline{B}^a - (12)$

where e_a is the unit vector in the tangent space.

In the complex circular basis:

$$e_a = \left(1, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+i), -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-i), -1 \right) - (13)$$

In the Cartesian basis:

$$e_a = (1, -1, -1, -1) - (14)$$

Therefore:

$$\underline{B} = e_{(0)} \underline{B}^{(0)} - e_{(1)} \underline{B}^{(1)} - e_{(2)} \underline{B}^{(2)} - e_{(3)} \underline{B}^{(3)} - (15)$$

By definition:

$$\underline{B}^{(0)} = \underline{0} \quad (16)$$

i.e. the spacelike vector \underline{B} has no timelike component.

In general:

$$\underline{B}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{B}_x \underline{i} - i \underline{B}_y \underline{j}) \quad (17)$$

and

$$\underline{B}^{(2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{B}_x \underline{i} + i \underline{B}_y \underline{j}) \quad (18)$$

So in eq. (15):

$$\underline{B} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1+i) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{B}_x \underline{i} - i \underline{B}_y \underline{j}) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (1-i) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\underline{B}_x \underline{i} + i \underline{B}_y \underline{j}) + B_z \underline{k} \quad (19)$$

$$= B_x \underline{i} + B_y \underline{j} + B_z \underline{k} \quad (20)$$

Q.E.D., where we have used:

$$\underline{B}^{(3)} = B_z \underline{k} \quad (21)$$

Now multiply both sides of eq. (10) by $-e_a$ strain:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B} = \frac{1}{W^{(0)}} \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{B}_b - \frac{\omega_b}{W^{(0)}} \cdot \underline{B}^b \quad (22)$$

Finally, we:

$$\underline{A} = -e_b \underline{A}^b \quad (23)$$

4) and $\underline{B} = -e^b \underline{B}_b - (24)$

Similarly: $\underline{\omega} = -e^b \underline{\omega}_b - (25)$

and $\underline{B} = -e_b \underline{B}^b - (26)$

- (27)

to find that:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B} = \frac{e_b e^b}{W^{(a)}} (\underline{A} \cdot \underline{B} - \underline{\omega} \cdot \underline{B})$$

where we have used

$$e_b e^b = e^b e_b - (28)$$

Eq. (28) must be an invariant, and must not depend on the coordinate system. In the Cartesian basis

$$e_b e^b = e^b e_b = -2 - (29)$$

In order to obtain the same result in the complex circular system we must use:

$$e_b e^{b*} = e^{b*} e_b = -2 - (30)$$

where * denotes complex conjugate.

For self consistency the property (30)

3) implies that \underline{A} in eq. (23) must be defined by:

$$\underline{A}^* = - (e_b \underline{A}^b)^* \quad - (24)$$

Similarly $\underline{\omega}$ in eq. (25) must be defined by:

$$\underline{\omega}^* = - (e^b \omega_b)^* \quad - (25)$$

Therefore eq. (22) becomes: - (26)

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B} = -2 - \left(\frac{\underline{A}^* \cdot \underline{B}}{W^{(0)}} - \underline{\omega}^* \cdot \underline{B} \right)$$

where we have used:

$$e^{b*} e_b = e_b^* e^b = -2 \quad - (27)$$

So the monopole equation reduces to:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B} = 2 - \underline{B} \cdot \left(\underline{\omega}^* - \frac{\underline{A}^*}{W^{(0)}} \right) \quad - (28)$$

This can be written as:

$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B} = 2 \underline{B} \cdot \left(\underline{\omega}^* - \frac{\underline{A}^{(0)}}{W^{(0)}} \underline{\nabla}^* \right) \quad - (29)$$

This equation has the correct units because $A^{(0)}/W^{(0)}$ has the units of inverse metres.

b) We may rewrite:
$$\frac{A^{(0)}}{r^{(0)}} = \frac{1}{r^{(0)}} \quad - (30)$$

So
$$\underline{\nabla} \cdot \underline{B} = 2\underline{B} \cdot \left(\underline{\omega}^* - \frac{1}{r^{(0)}} \underline{v}^* \right) \quad - (31)$$

The magnetic monopole is therefore:

$$\underline{\rho}_B = 2\underline{B} \cdot \left(\underline{\omega}^* - \frac{1}{r^{(0)}} \underline{v}^* \right) \quad - (32)$$

and vanishes if and only if:

$$\underline{\omega}^* = \frac{1}{r^{(0)}} \underline{v}^* \quad - (33)$$

This result can be compared with RW of the engineering model:

$$\underline{\rho}_B = \underline{\omega}^a{}_b \cdot \underline{B}^b - \underline{A}^b \cdot \underline{R}^a{}_b(\text{Spin}) \quad - (34)$$

a page 26.

The result (32) is very much clearer and simpler.