

574(2) : Lagrangian and Hamiltonian Formulation of UFT 363.

Define the Hamiltonian as:

$$H = \frac{1}{2m} \underline{p} \cdot \underline{p} - \frac{nmg}{r} \quad - (1)$$

and the Lagrangian as

$$L = \frac{1}{2m} \underline{p} \cdot \underline{p} + \frac{nmg}{r} \quad - (2)$$

Lagrangian dynamics lead to:

$$\underline{p} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{\dot{r}}} \quad - (3)$$

and

$$\underline{\dot{p}} = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \underline{r}} \quad - (4)$$

The Hamiltonian is defined as:

$$H = \underline{p} \cdot \underline{\dot{r}} - L \quad - (5)$$

and the Hamilton equations are:

$$\underline{\dot{r}} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial \underline{p}} \quad - (6)$$

and

$$\underline{\dot{p}} = - \frac{\partial H}{\partial \underline{r}} \quad - (7)$$

In classical dynamics in plane polar coordinates:

$$\underline{\dot{r}} = \dot{r} \underline{e}_r + r \dot{\phi} \underline{e}_\phi \quad - (8)$$

$$= \dot{r} \underline{e}_r + r \dot{\phi} \underline{e}_\phi$$

$$= \underline{v} \quad - (9)$$

So

$$H = m \underline{v} \cdot \underline{v} - L = \frac{1}{2} m \underline{\dot{r}} \cdot \underline{\dot{r}} - \frac{nmg}{r}$$

From eqs. (2) and (3):

$$\underline{p} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \underline{\dot{r}}} = m \underline{\dot{r}} \quad - (10)$$

The Euler Lagrange equation is:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \underline{r}} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \underline{\dot{r}}} \right) \quad - (11)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2m} \underline{\dot{r}} \cdot \underline{\dot{r}} + \frac{mMG}{|\underline{r}|} \quad - (12)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \underline{\dot{r}}} \right) = m \underline{\ddot{r}} \quad - (13)$$

Note that:

$$\frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{|\underline{r}|} = \frac{1}{(\underline{r} \cdot \underline{r})^{1/2}} \quad - (14)$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \underline{r}} \left( (\underline{r} \cdot \underline{r})^{-1/2} \right) &= -\frac{1}{2} (\underline{r} \cdot \underline{r})^{-3/2} (2\underline{r}) \\ &= -\frac{1}{r^2} \underline{e}_r \quad - (15) \end{aligned}$$

where we have used:

$$\underline{r} = r \underline{e}_r \quad - (16)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \underline{r}} = -\frac{mMG}{r^2} \underline{e}_r \quad - (17)$$

Therefore eq. (11) gives:

$$\underline{F} = m \underline{\ddot{r}} = -\frac{mMG}{r^2} \underline{e}_r \quad - (18)$$

In classical mechanics:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\ddot{r}} &= \frac{d\underline{\dot{r}}}{dt} = \frac{d}{dt} ( \dot{r} \underline{e}_r + r \dot{\theta} \underline{e}_\theta ) \quad - (19) \\ &= \ddot{r} \underline{e}_r + \dot{r} \dot{\underline{e}}_r + \dot{r} \dot{\theta} \underline{e}_\theta + r \ddot{\theta} \underline{e}_\theta + r \dot{\theta} \dot{\underline{e}}_\theta \\ &= (\ddot{r} - r \dot{\theta}^2) \underline{e}_r + (2\dot{r} \dot{\theta} + r \ddot{\theta}) \underline{e}_\theta \end{aligned}$$

From eqs. (18) and (19):

$$\ddot{r} - r \dot{\theta}^2 = -\frac{mMG}{r^2} \quad - (20)$$

and  $2\dot{r} \dot{\theta} + r \ddot{\theta} = 0 \quad - (21)$

Eq. (20) is the Leibnitz equation. It can be obtained from the Lagrangian (2), which is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} m (\dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2) + \frac{mMG}{r} \quad - (22)$$

using the Lagrange variables  $r$  and  $\theta$ , so:

$$\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{dr} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} \quad - (23)$$

and  $\frac{d\mathcal{L}}{d\theta} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \quad - (24)$

Eq. (23) gives eq. (20), and eq. (24)

4) gives  $\dot{\theta} = \frac{d\theta}{dt} = \frac{L}{mr^2}$  - (25)

where  $L$  is the constant angular momentum. However, eqs. (22) to (24) do not give eq. (21).

The complete analysis therefore needs eq. (11), and the Lagrangian (12).

This realization is important in fluid dynamics. In 1933 it was identified that:

$$\underline{v} = \underline{\dot{r}} = x\dot{r}\underline{e}_r + r\dot{\theta}\underline{e}_\theta \quad - (26)$$

where

$$x = 1 + \frac{dR}{dr} \quad - (27)$$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\ddot{r}} &= x\ddot{r}\underline{e}_r + x\dot{r}\dot{\underline{e}}_r + \dot{r}\dot{\theta}\underline{e}_\theta + r\ddot{\theta}\underline{e}_\theta + r\dot{\theta}\dot{\underline{e}}_\theta \\ &= (x\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2)\underline{e}_r + ((x+1)\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta})\underline{e}_\theta \quad - (28) \end{aligned}$$

where it has been assumed that  $x$  is independent of time.

If  $x$  depends on time then additional terms appear in Eq. (28). It follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{F} = m\underline{\ddot{r}} &= m((x\ddot{r} - r\dot{\theta}^2)\underline{e}_r + ((x+1)\dot{r}\dot{\theta} + r\ddot{\theta})\underline{e}_\theta) \\ &= -\frac{mMg}{r^2}\underline{e}_r \quad - (29) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore:

$$5) \quad x \ddot{r} - r \dot{\theta}^2 = -\frac{MG}{r^2} \quad - (30)$$

and

$$(x+1) r \dot{\theta} + r \ddot{\theta} = 0 \quad - (31)$$

These equations reduce to classical dynamics if

$$x \rightarrow 1 \quad - (32)$$

Therefore:

$$\left(1 + \frac{dR_r}{dr}\right) \ddot{r} = r \dot{\theta}^2 - \frac{MG}{r^2} \quad - (33)$$

and

$$\left(2 + \frac{dR_r}{dr}\right) r \dot{\theta} + r \ddot{\theta} = 0 \quad - (34)$$

Note carefully that eqs. (33) and (34) are obtained from the Lagrangian (2) and the Euler Lagrange equations (11). This is the correct procedure because it correctly gives the momentum from the Lagrangian, using eq. (10).

If the Lagrangian is defined as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} m \left( \left(1 + \frac{dR_r}{dr}\right)^2 \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 \right) + \frac{2MG}{r} \quad - (35)$$

it must be re-expressed as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} m \underline{\dot{r}} \cdot \underline{\dot{r}} + \frac{2MG}{r} \quad - (36)$$

b) in order to obtain the canonical momentum:

$$\underline{p} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} = m \dot{r} \quad - (37)$$

The use of this canonical momentum leads to eqs. (33) and (34). It is not possible to choose  $r$  as a Lagrange variable in eq. (35), because the equation:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial r} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{r}} \right) \quad - (38)$$

leads to:

$$\left(1 + \frac{\partial R(r)}{\partial r}\right)^2 \ddot{r} = r \dot{\theta}^2 - \frac{mG}{r^2} \quad - (39)$$

because the canonical equation is Eq. (33).

The  $\theta$  variable can still be used, so

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \theta} = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{\theta}} \right) \quad - (40)$$

is still valid. This gives:

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{L}{m r^2} \quad - (41)$$

The reason for this is that the angular part of the

Lagrangian: 
$$\mathcal{L}_\theta = \frac{1}{2} m r^2 \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{2mG}{r} \quad - (42)$$

is the same in classical dynamics and fluid dynamics.

Therefore there are three equations in three unknowns:

$$\left(1 + \frac{\partial R_r}{\partial r}\right) \ddot{r} = r \dot{\theta}^2 = \frac{MG}{r^2} \quad - (43)$$

$$\left(2 + \frac{\partial R_r}{\partial r}\right) r \dot{\theta} + r \ddot{\theta} = 0 \quad - (44)$$

and

$$\dot{\theta} = \frac{L}{mr^2} \quad - (45)$$

here  $L$  is a constant of motion.

The above three equations can be solved for  $r$ ,  $\theta$  and  $\partial R_r / \partial r$ . The differential orbital function is:

$$\frac{dr}{d\theta} = \frac{\dot{r}}{\dot{\theta}} \quad - (46)$$

and the orbit is

$$r = \int \frac{dr}{d\theta} d\theta \quad - (47)$$

Various equations of the fluid spacetime may now be added to eqs. (43) to (45), namely the continuity equation (conservation of matter), the Navier-Stokes equation, the conservation of entropy per unit mass, and the conservation of angular momentum.

### Conclusions

The complete range of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian dynamics, eqs. (1) to (12), is needed for the problem.

The Lagrange variables are  $r$  and  $\theta$  as in eq. (11), i.e. the proper Lagrange variable must be  $\underline{r}$ . The angle  $\theta$  may also be a proper Lagrange variable.