# On the representation of the Maxwell Heaviside equations in terms of the Barut field four vector

Petar K. Anastasovski<sup>1</sup>, T. E. Bearden<sup>2</sup>, C. Ciubotariu<sup>3</sup>, W. T. Coffey<sup>4</sup>, L. B. Crowell<sup>5</sup>, G. J. Evans<sup>6</sup>, M. W. Evans<sup>7,8</sup>, R. Flower<sup>9</sup>, S. Jeffers<sup>10</sup>, A. Labounsky<sup>11</sup>, B. Lehnert<sup>12</sup>, M. Mészáros<sup>13</sup>, P. R. Molnár<sup>13</sup>, S. Roy<sup>14</sup>, J.-P. Vigier<sup>15</sup>

Institute for Advanced Study, Alpha Foundation, Institute of Physics, 11 Rutafa Street, Building H, Budapest, H-1165, Hungary

<sup>1</sup> Faculty of Technology and Metallurgy, Department of Physics, University of Skopje, Republic of Macedonia

<sup>2</sup> CEO, CTEC Inc, 2311 Big Cove Road., Huntsville, AL 35801-1351, USA

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Information Technology, Stuttgart University, Stuttgart, Germany

<sup>4</sup> Department of Microelectronics and Electrical Engineering, Trinity College, Dublin 2, Ireland

<sup>5</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque, New Mexico

<sup>6</sup> Department of Environment, Trinity College, Carmarthen, Wales, Great Britain

7 former Edward Davies Chemical Laboratories, University College of Wales, Aberystwyth SY32 1NE, Wales, Great Britain

<sup>8</sup> sometime JRF, Wolfson College, Oxford, Great Britain

<sup>9</sup> CEO, Applied Science Associates and Temple University, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Department of Physics and Astronomy, York University, Toronto, Canada

11 The Boeing Company, Huntington Beach, California

12 Alfven Laboratory, Royal Institute of Technology, Stockholm, S-100 44, Sweden

<sup>13</sup> Alpha Foundation, Institute of Physics, 11 Rutafa Street, Building H, Budapest, H-1165, Hungary

<sup>14</sup> Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, India

15 Labo de Gravitation et Cosmologie Relativistes, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Tour 22-12, 4 ème etage, BP 142, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

Abstract: It is shown that the Maxwell Heaviside equations can be written simply in terms of a field four vector  $G^{\mu} = (0, cB + iE)$ , where B is magnetic flux density and E is electric field strength. The method given is much simpler that than used by Barut [1] in expressing the Maxwell Heaviside equations as neutrino equations. Under Lorentz transformation  $G^{\mu}$  transfour vector, leading to novel interpretation. The field four vector  $G^{\mu}$  leads directly to cyclical relations between magnetic fields in the vacuum.

Key words: Maxwell Heaviside equations - Barut field vector - Lorentz transformation

#### 1. Introduction

It is not well known that electric and magnetic fields can be expressed in terms of four vectors. However, Barut [1] used such a four vector to express the Maxwell Heaviside equations as neutrino equations. In this communication a much simpler expression is found for the Maxwell Heaviside equations in terms of the four vectors:

$$G^{\mu} = (0, cB + iE) \tag{1}$$

Received 13 October 1999; accepted 19 January 2000.

Correspondence to: M. W. Evans, AIAS, 82 Lois Lane, Ithaca, NY 14850, USA

E-mail: FishnChips@compuserve.com

and

$$H^{\mu} = (0, H + icD) \tag{2}$$

where E is electric field strength, D is electric displacement: B is magnetic flux density and H is magnetic field strength in S. I. units. The Lorentz transform of these field four vectors leads to different expressions for the field components than the standard transformation of the field four tensor  $F^{\mu\nu}$ [2, 3], even though the basic equations are the same, the Maxwell Heaviside equations. This shows that it is necessary but not sufficient merely to consider the Lorentz transform of field components. It is necessary and sufficient to consider the Lorentz transform of field equations. The Maxwell Heaviside equations can be expressed either in terms of field four vectors or as usual in terms of field four tensors, yet the field components behave differently under Lorentz transformation. The only possible way out of this paradox is to always consider the Lorentz transformation of the underlying field equations.

# 2. The Maxwell Heaviside equations in terms of field four vectors

Firstly consider the free space Maxwell Heaviside equations using the four vector [1]:

$$G^{\mu} = (0, cB + iE) \tag{3}$$

Clearly:

$$G^{\mu}G_{\mu} = G^{\mu'}G_{\mu'} \tag{4}$$

is a Lorentz invariant proportional to the field energy. The free space field equations are simply:

$$\partial_{\mu}G^{\mu} = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$[\partial_i, G_i] + i [\partial_0, G_k] = 0.$$
 (6)

In vector notation and S. I. units these give:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0; \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E} = 0; \tag{7}$$

$$\nabla \times E + \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = 0; \quad \nabla \times B - \frac{1}{c^2} \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} = 0.$$
 (8)

For field matter interaction we use the field four vector:

$$H^{\mu} = (0, H + icD) \tag{9}$$

and the Maxwell Heaviside equations are simply:

$$\partial_{\mu}H^{\mu} = i\varrho c$$

$$[\partial_i, H_i] + i [\partial_0, H_k] = J_k. \tag{10}$$

In vector notation and S. I. units these are:

$$\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B} = 0; \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{D} = \varrho;$$

$$\nabla \times H = \frac{\partial D}{\partial t} + J; \quad \nabla \times E + \frac{\partial B}{\partial t} = 0.$$
 (11)

Here  $\varrho$  is scalar charge density and J is vector current density. Using the well known fact that  $\varrho$  and J themselves form the components of a four vector the Maxwell Heaviside equations for field matter interaction can be combined into just one relation between four vectors:

$$\frac{1}{c}\left(-i\,\partial_{\mu}\,H^{\mu},\,\left(\left[\partial_{i},H_{j}\right]+i\left[\partial_{0},H_{k}\right]\right)\right)=\left(\varrho,\frac{1}{c}\,J_{k}\right)$$
(12)

and the free space equivalent is:

$$(\partial_{\mu} G^{\mu}, [\partial_{i}, G_{i}] + i [\partial_{0}, G_{k}]) = 0.$$

$$(13)$$

#### 3. Discussion

It is well known that the free space Maxwell Heaviside equations can be written in tensor form as [2, 3]:

$$\partial_{\mu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} := 0 \tag{14}$$

$$\partial_{\cdot \cdot} F^{\mu \nu} = 0 \tag{15}$$

where the tensor  $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$  is the dual of  $F_{\varrho\sigma}$ . A Lorentz boost in the z direction of  $G^{\mu}$  produces:

$$cB'_{x} + iE'_{x} = cB_{x} + iE_{x}$$

$$cB'_{y} + iE'_{y} = cB_{y} + iE_{y}$$

$$cB'_{z} + iE'_{z} = \gamma(cB'_{z} + iE'_{z})$$

$$cB'_{0} + iE'_{0} = -\gamma\beta(cB'_{z} + iE'_{z})$$
(16)

but a Lorentz boost in the z direction applied to  $F^{\mu\nu}$  produces

$$cB'_{x} = \gamma (cB_{x} + \beta E_{y})$$

$$cB'_{y} = \gamma (cB_{y} + \beta E_{x})$$

$$cB'_{z} = cB'_{z}$$

$$B'_{0} = 0$$
(17)

a completely different result, even though eq. (13) and eqs. (14) and (15) are both precisely equivalent to eqs. (7) and (8). The only common factor is that the charge current four tensor transforms in the same way for vector representation (12) and its equivalent tensor representation, which is:

$$\partial_{\mu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{18}$$

$$\partial_{\mu}H^{\mu\nu}:=J^{\nu}.\tag{19}$$

The vector representation develops a timelike component under a Lorentz boost in z, while the tensor representation does not. However, the underlying equation in both cases are the Maxwell Heaviside equations, which transform covariantly in both cases, and, obviously, in the same way for both the vector and tensor representations of the field components.

If we define the vectors

$$a := \frac{1}{2} (cB + iE) \tag{20}$$

$$b := \frac{1}{2} (cB - iE) \tag{21}$$

then

$$[a_x, a_y] = i a_z$$
 et cyclicum  
 $[b_x, b_y] = i b_z$  et cyclicum  
 $[a_i, b_i] = 0$   $(i, j = x, y, z)$ . (22)

Thus a and b each generate a group SU(2), and the two groups commute. The Lorentz group is then SU(2)  $\otimes$  SU(2) and transforms in a well defined way labelled by two angular momenta (j, j'), the first corresponding to a and the second to b. Thus a and b are generators of the Lorentz group.

The vector  $G^{\mu}$  also transforms as the Pauli Lubanski vector in particle rest frame [4], for example a photon with a very tiny mass. This strongly suggests that the vector representation (1) is for the intrinsic spin of the electromagnetic field at a fundamental level (one photon level), while the tensor representation is for orbital angular momentum. This is also suggested by O(3) electrodynamics [5–12], where the fundamental intrinsic spin of the field is given by the Evans Vigier vector  $B^{(3)}$  obeying the cyclic relations:

$$B^{(1)} \times B^{(2)} = iB^{(0)} B^{(3)*}$$
 et cyclicum. (23)

Acknowledgements. Several private and public sources of funding for AIAS are acknowledged. The U.S. Department of Energy is acknowledged for a website http://www.ott.doe.gov/electromagnetic/ containing about sixty papers and documents on O(3) electrodynamics, and the editor of the Journal of New Energy is thanked for a special issue devoted to (O(3) electrodynamics.

#### References

- [1] Barut AO: Electrodynamics and Classical Theory of Fields
- and Particles. p. 98. Maxmillan, New York 1964
  [2] Jackson JD: Classical Electrodynamics. Wiley, New York
- [3] Panofsky WKH, Phillips M, Classical Electricity and Magne-
- tism. Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass 1962
  [4] Ryder LH: Quantum Field Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1987
- [5] Evans MW et al.: AIAS group paper, Found. Phys. Lett. 12 (1999) 187, 579
- [6] Evans MW et al.: AIAS group paper, Phys. Scripta, 61 (2000) 79, 287
- [7] Anastasovski PK et al.: Equations of the Yang-Mills theory of
- classical electrodynamics. Optik 111 (2000) 53-56
  [8] Evans MW, Vigier J-P, Roy S, Jeffers S: The Enigmatic Photon. Kluwer, Dordrecht 1994 to 1999 in five volumes
- [9] Evans MW, Crowell LB: Classical and Quantum Electrodynamics and the B Field. World Scientific, Singapore 2000
- [10] Evans MW et al.: AIAS group paper, Found. Phys. Lett. 12 (1999) 187
- 1] Evans MW, Crowell LB: Found. Phys. Lett. 11 (1998) 601
- [12] Evans MW, Kielich S (eds.): Modern Nonlinear Optics. Wiley, New York, part 2

Ab Standort 25479 Ellerau:

## **Diverse** Glasbearbeitungsmaschinen

#### CNC-Präzisionsschleifmaschine

Fabr. Schneider, Spheroline SLG 200 - S2 CNC (Doppelspindler), Bj. 98

Verfahrwege: x/y - 285/125 mm, B 0-51\*, CNC-Steuerung Siemens Sinumerik 840, Kühlmittelbehälter mit Zentrifuge, Fabr. HKS, Typ KM 50-B200

### 2 CNC-Präzisionspoliermaschinen

Fabr. Schneider, Spheroline SLP 200 CNC, Bj. 98

Verfahrwege: x/y - 285/125 mm, B 0-51" max. Polierschalen-Ø 360 mm, CNC-Steuerung Siemens Sinumerik 840, sowie Poliermittelbehälter, Fabr. Schneider, Typ PT 14-T, mit integrierter Temperaturrege-

#### 2 CNC-Poliermaschinen

Fabr. Loh, Synchrospeed SPS 120 SL, Bj. 97 max. Linsen-Ø 120 mm, dazu Poliermitteleinrichtung bestehend aus Tank 15 l, Pumpe und Temperaturregelung, 1 x mit Steue-rung Siemens Sicomp PC 32-F

#### CNC-Schleifmaschine

Fabr. Loh, Spheromatic SPM 120-2 SL (Doppelspindler), Bj. 97

Linsen-Ø 10 – 120 mm, Mittendicken-Mess-System Tesa TT26, Hydrodehn-An-schluss Ø 25 mm, Kühlaggregat BKW 041E-L-1813, dazu Kühlmittelbehälter m. Zentrifuge, Fabr. HKS, Typ KM 50-B 125

#### CNC-Rondiermaschine

Fabr. Dama Optik Maschinen, RMD 100 CNC, Bj. 98

Durchmesserbereich 10 - 100 mm, Bearbeitungslänge 100 mm, mit 3-Achsen-Steuerung, Typ NUM 1020, dazu 1 Kühlmittelzentrifuge, Fabr. Turbo-Separator, Typ K 100 T 5 B

#### NC-Rondiermaschine

Fabr. Dama Optik Maschinen, RMD 200 CNC, Bi. 97/96

Durchmesserbereich 0 - 200 mm, Bearbeitungslänge 180 mm, mit NC-Steuerung, Fabr. Berger Lahr, Typ FD 2000, dazu 1 Kühlmittel-Reinigungszentrifuge, Fabr. Turbo-Separator, Typ K 100 T 5 B

#### Besichtigung:

Nach vorheriger Terminabsprache möglich Weitere Informationen auf Anfrage und im Internet

#### **ANGERMANN** INDUSTRIEANLAGEN GMBH

D-20301 HAMBURG POSTFACII 30 01 40 TELEFON 040-34 91 4-117 TELEFAX 040-34 91 4-125

Internet: http://www.angermann.de/maschinen e-mail: aia@angermann.de