

100(17): Expansion of LR First Bianchi Identity

As in GCFI, Chap. II, Appendix:

$$\partial_\mu T_{\nu\rho}^a + \omega_{\mu b}^a T_{\nu\rho}^b + \partial_\rho T_{\mu\nu}^a + \omega_{\rho b}^a T_{\mu\nu}^b + \partial_\nu T_{\rho\mu}^a + \omega_{\nu b}^a T_{\rho\mu}^b \\ := (R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda + R_{\rho\mu\nu}^\lambda + R_{\nu\rho\mu}^\lambda) q^\lambda - (1)$$

where:  $T_{\nu\rho}^a = (\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\lambda) q^\lambda$  etc. - (2)

$$T_{\nu\rho}^b = (\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\lambda) q^\lambda$$
 etc. - (3)

using Leibniz Theorem:

$$\partial_\mu T_{\nu\rho}^a = (\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\lambda) q^\lambda + (\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\lambda) \partial_\mu q^\lambda \\ \text{etc.} - (4)$$

So:

$$(\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\lambda) q^\lambda + (\partial_\mu q^\lambda + \omega_{\mu b}^a q^\lambda) (\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\lambda) \\ + \dots := (R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda + R_{\rho\mu\nu}^\lambda + R_{\nu\rho\mu}^\lambda) q^\lambda - (5)$$

Re-label dummy indices:

$$(\partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\lambda) q^\lambda + (\partial_\mu q^\sigma + \omega_{\mu b}^a q^\sigma) (\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\sigma) \\ + \dots := (R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda + R_{\rho\mu\nu}^\lambda + R_{\nu\rho\mu}^\lambda) q^\lambda - (6)$$

Use tetrad postulate:

2)

$$\partial_\mu \varphi^\sigma + \omega_{\mu b}^\sigma \varphi^b = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \varphi^\sigma - (7)$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda (\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\sigma) && R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda \\
 & + \partial_\rho \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda - \partial_\rho \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\rho\nu}^\lambda (\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\sigma) &:=& + R_{\rho\mu\nu}^\lambda \\
 & + \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\lambda - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda (\Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\sigma) && + R_{\nu\rho\mu}^\lambda \\
 & - (8)
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally re-arrange terms in eq. (8):

$$\begin{aligned}
 & R_{\rho\mu\nu}^\lambda + R_{\mu\nu\rho}^\lambda + R_{\nu\rho\mu}^\lambda \\
 & := \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda - \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\lambda \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\sigma \\
 & + \partial_\nu \Gamma_{\mu\rho}^\lambda - \partial_\rho \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\sigma \\
 & + \partial_\rho \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\lambda - \partial_\mu \Gamma_{\nu\rho}^\lambda + \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^\sigma - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda \Gamma_{\rho\mu}^\sigma
 \end{aligned} \quad - (9)$$

In short hand notation this identity is:

$$D \wedge T := R \wedge \varphi \quad - (10)$$

It is an exact identity because by definition:

$$R_{\rho\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \partial_{\mu}\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\lambda} - \partial_{\nu}\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\lambda} + \Gamma_{\mu\sigma}^{\lambda}\Gamma_{\nu\rho}^{\sigma} - \Gamma_{\nu\sigma}^{\lambda}\Gamma_{\mu\rho}^{\sigma} \quad (11)$$

and so on, and:

$$T_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} = \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^{\lambda} - \Gamma_{\nu\mu}^{\lambda} \quad (12)$$

and so on.

In general:

$$R_{\rho\mu\nu}^{\lambda} + R_{\mu\nu\rho}^{\lambda} + R_{\nu\rho\mu}^{\lambda} \neq 0 \quad (13)$$

The Bianchi identity (10) can be written as:

$$D_{\mu}T_{\nu\rho}^a + D_{\rho}T_{\mu\nu}^a + D_{\nu}T_{\mu\rho}^a := R_{\mu\nu\rho}^a + R_{\mu\rho\nu}^a + R_{\nu\rho\mu}^a \quad (14)$$

i.e. as:  $D_{\mu}\tilde{T}_{\nu\rho}^a := \tilde{R}_{\mu}^{a\,\nu\rho} \quad (15)$

A particular solution is the same & manifold relation:

$$D_{\mu}\tilde{T}^{K\mu\nu} := \tilde{R}^{K\mu\nu} \quad (16)$$

Therefore eqns. (10), (14), (16) and (9) state  
the same thing, that if a tensor can be defined  
by eq. (11) and another by eq (12), then the  
Bianchi identity follows. It is known that the  
tensors (11) and (12) follow from a round trip

+ ) on a vector VP with the commutator  $[D_\mu, D_\nu]$   
 (paper 99). They are the Riemann tensor and  
 torsion tensor for any connection. They are anti-symmetric

by definition:

$$R^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = - R^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \quad - (17)$$

$$T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = - T^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \quad - (18)$$

so by definition have well defined Hodge duals,  
 or denoted  $\tilde{R}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$  and  $\tilde{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ . These Hodge duals  
 can be defined in terms of a convention denoted  $\Delta^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ :

$$\tilde{R}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu \Delta^\lambda_{\nu\rho} - \partial_\nu \Delta^\lambda_{\mu\rho} + \Delta^\lambda_{\rho\sigma} \Delta^{\sigma\rho} - \Delta^\lambda_{\rho\sigma} \Delta^{\sigma\rho} \quad - (19)$$

$$\tilde{T}^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Delta^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Delta^\lambda_{\nu\mu} \quad - (20)$$

in eqn. (20)  $\Delta^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$  is the Hodge dual of  $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$ . Strictly  
 speaking  $\Delta^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Delta^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$  is the Hodge dual of  
 $\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu}$  because the convention is not a tensor.  
 but the difference of two conventions is a tensor. The Hodge  
 dual is defined in 4-D on the anti-symmetric  
 indices  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .

∴ It therefore follows from (19) and (20) that

$$D_\lambda T := \tilde{R} \Lambda_{\lambda\nu} - (21)$$

This can be expressed in the base manifold as:

$$\boxed{D_\mu T^{\lambda\mu\nu} := R^\lambda_{\mu\nu} - (22)}$$

Eg. (22) invalidates the EH theory because it is incompatible with the use of the Christoffel connection:

$$\Gamma^\lambda_{\mu\nu} = \Gamma^\lambda_{\nu\mu} - (23)$$

The existence of the definition (21) can therefore be traced to the fact that there exists a Hodge dual of the commutator  $[D_\mu, D_\nu]$  or  $[D^\mu, D^\nu]$

$$[D^\mu, D^\nu] = \frac{1}{2} \|g\|^{1/2} \epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} [\tilde{D}_\rho, \tilde{D}_\sigma] - (24)$$

$$[\tilde{D}_\rho, \tilde{D}_\sigma] = \frac{1}{2} \|g\|^{1/2} \epsilon_{\rho\sigma\mu\nu} [D^\mu, D^\nu] - (25)$$

where

$$[D_\mu, D_\nu] V^\rho = R^\rho_{\mu\nu} V^\nu - T^\lambda_{\mu\nu} D_\lambda V^\rho - (26)$$

so there exist Hodge duals of  $R^\rho_{\mu\nu}$  and  $T^\lambda_{\mu\nu}$

6)

We may also define:

$$[D^\mu, D^\nu] \nabla^\rho = R^\rho_{\sigma}{}^{\mu\nu} \nabla^\sigma - T^{\lambda\mu\nu} D_\lambda \nabla^\rho - (27)$$

and the Hodge duals of both sides of eq (27) produce:

$$[D_\alpha, D_\beta] \nabla^\rho = \tilde{R}^\rho_{\sigma}{}_{\alpha\beta} \nabla^\sigma - \tilde{T}^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta} D_\lambda \nabla^\rho - (28)$$

The Bianchi identity is an exact identity because it is a cyclic sum of definitions of the Riemann tensor. The Hodge dual Bianchi identity (21) is an exact identity because it is a cyclic sum of definitions of the Hodge dual of the Riemann tensor. These definitions occur from the commutator  $[D_\mu, D_\nu]$  acting on a vector  $\nabla^\rho$ . The commutator is antisymmetric and so has a well defined Hodge dual operator, another antisymmetric commutator in four dimension. If we denote this Hodge dual commutator by  $[D_\alpha, D_\beta]$  it acts on a vector  $\nabla^\rho$  to produce  $\tilde{R}^\rho_{\sigma\alpha\beta}$  and  $\tilde{T}^{\lambda}_{\alpha\beta}$  as in eq. (28). It follows that the Chernoff connection cannot be used in the theory of gravitation.