

290(4): The Correct Derivation of the Stefan Boltzmann Law

The correct derivation of the intensity of radiation is:

$$I = \frac{cU}{V} = \frac{1}{\pi^2 c} \left[\int \langle E \rangle \omega^2 d\omega + \frac{2}{3} \int \langle E \rangle \omega (d\omega)^2 + \frac{1}{3} \int \langle E \rangle (d\omega)^3 \right] \quad -(1)$$

Eq. (1) is:

$$I = \frac{1}{\pi^2 c} \left[\int_0^\infty \omega^2 f(\omega) d\omega + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \omega f(\omega) d\omega d\omega + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty f(\omega) d\omega d\omega d\omega \right] \quad -(2)$$

where $f(\omega) = \frac{\hbar \omega}{\exp\left(\frac{\hbar \omega}{kT}\right) - 1}$ -(3)

The usual Stefan Boltzmann law is:

$$I = \frac{1}{\pi^2 c} \int_0^\infty \omega^2 f(\omega) d\omega = \left(\frac{\pi^2 k^4}{15 c^2 \hbar^3} \right) T^4 \quad -(4)$$

This is completely incorrect because it omits the second and third terms in eq. (2).

H. & Temperature or Low Frequency Limit

In this limit:

$$\hbar \omega \ll kT \quad -(5)$$

So: $\exp\left(\frac{\hbar \omega}{kT}\right) \sim 1 + \frac{\hbar \omega}{kT}$ -(6)

$$\langle E \rangle \rightarrow kT, \text{---} (7)$$

For an infinite range of radiation or black body radiation (eq. (2)), let it be limit (5):

$$I \rightarrow \frac{kT}{\pi^2 c^2} \left[\int_0^\infty \omega^2 d\omega + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \omega d\omega d\omega + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty d\omega d\omega d\omega \right] \text{---} (8)$$

The indefinite integrals are:

$$I \rightarrow \frac{kT}{\pi^2 c^2} \left[\int \omega^2 d\omega + \iint \omega d\omega d\omega + \iiint d\omega d\omega d\omega \right] \text{---} (9)$$

$$= \frac{kT}{\pi^2 c^2} \left[\frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{9} + \frac{1}{18} \right] \omega^3$$

$$= \frac{5}{9} \left(\frac{kT}{\pi^2 c^2} \right) \omega^3$$

The result in this same limit from the incorrect theory is:

$$I = \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{kT}{\pi^2 c^2} \right) \omega^3 \text{---} (10)$$

The usual result (4) is obviously incorrect because terms in $(d\omega)^2$ and $(d\omega)^3$ cannot be neglected. Claims to experimental precision of eq. (4) are obviously incorrect.