

(7): Violation of Antisymmetry in Standard Physics

In U(1) electrodynamics for example the field tensor is defined as:

$$F = dA \quad (1)$$

where F is a two form and where the potential for A is a one form. By definition F is antisymmetric. In tensor notation:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad (2)$$

$$F_{\mu\nu} = -F_{\nu\mu} \quad (3)$$

derivation of antisymmetry means that eq. (3) must be obeyed. It follows that:

$$(\partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu) = -(\partial_\nu A_\mu - \partial_\mu A_\nu) \quad (4)$$

Eq. (4) has two possible solutions:

$$\partial_\mu A_\nu = \partial_\nu A_\mu \quad (5a)$$

$$\partial_\nu A_\mu = -\partial_\mu A_\nu \quad (5b)$$

$$\partial_\mu A_\nu = -\partial_\nu A_\mu \quad (6a)$$

$$\partial_\nu A_\mu = -\partial_\mu A_\nu \quad (6b)$$

Eq. (5) is a tautology, and eq. (6) shows the antisymmetry:

$$\boxed{\partial_\mu A_\nu = -\partial_\nu A_\mu} \quad (7)$$

Q.E.D.

If eq. (7) were not true, then eq. (3)

2) would not be true, a reduction to absurdity proof
 but eq. (7) must be true if eq. (3) is to be true.

In general in the standard model:

$$\partial_\mu A_\nu \neq -\partial_\nu A_\mu \quad (8)$$

so the entire standard model of electrodynamics is
 refuted. This was first shown in UFT 131 ff.

on the ECE2 level:

$$F^a = d\wedge A^a + \omega^a_b \wedge A^b \quad (9)$$

In ECE2 the terms which are removed are:

$$F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu + \omega_\mu A_\nu - \omega_\nu A_\mu \quad (10)$$

The antisymmetry law is:

$$\partial_\mu A_\nu + \omega_\mu A_\nu = -(\partial_\nu A_\mu + \omega_\nu A_\mu) \quad (11)$$

Antisymmetry is preserved by choice of spin
 connection as in recent notes and papers