

# 10(b) : Universal Law of Precession Applied to Planets

The universal law of precession is:

$$\Delta\phi = \frac{2\pi}{3} \left( \langle v_n \rangle^3 + 3\omega r \right) - (1)$$

where  $\langle v_n \rangle$  is the mean Newtonian orbital linear velocity,  $r$  is the distance between the orbiting body and the center of mass,  $\omega$  is the angular velocity of rotation due to underlying torsion. In previous note, it was worked out for Hulse-Taylor binary pulsar. It is noted that the universal law of precession (ULP) is applied to the planets of the solar system. In the literature, the total observed precession of planets is given,  $\Delta\phi_T$ , and the precession that remains after the effect of other planets has been removed, denoted  $\Delta\phi_R$ . For other planets  $\Delta\phi_R < \Delta\phi_T$ . In the above standard model of physics it is claimed that  $\Delta\phi_R$  is the same as the precession due to the law of general relativity developed by Einstein,  $\Delta\phi_E$ . However, it is known in many ways that this cannot be true, so a new universal law of precession, Eq. (1), is being developed. The universal law of precession, Eq. (1), is seen developed. Precessions are in radians and S.I. units.

Planet	$\Delta\phi_T$	$\Delta\phi_R$	$\Delta\phi_E$	$\langle v_n \rangle$	$\langle r \rangle$ (metres)	$E$	
Mercury	$2.778 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.090 \times 10^{-6}$	$2.085 \times 10^{-6}$	$4.714 \times 10^4$	$5.79 \times 10^{10}$	0.2056	
Venus	$9.989 \times 10^{-5}$	$4.072 \times 10^{-7}$	$4.184 \times 10^{-7}$	$3.50 \times 10^4$	$1.08 \times 10^{11}$	0.0068	
Mars	$5.551 \times 10^{-4}$	$2.424 \times 10^{-7}$	$1.862 \times 10^{-7}$	$2.98 \times 10^4$	$1.50 \times 10^{11}$	0.0167	
Jupiter	$7.893 \times 10^{-11}$			$6.553 \times 10^{-8}$	$2.41 \times 10^4$	$2.28 \times 10^{11}$	0.0934
Saturn	$3.0176 \times 10^{-4}$			$3.024 \times 10^{-9}$	$1.31 \times 10^4$	$7.79 \times 10^{11}$	0.0483
Uranus	$9.454 \times 10^{-4}$			$6.614 \times 10^{-10}$	$9.30 \times 10^3$	$1.43 \times 10^{12}$	0.056
Neptune	$1.619 \times 10^{-4}$			$1.156 \times 10^{-10}$	$6.80 \times 10^3$	$2.87 \times 10^{12}$	0.0461
Pluto	$1.745 \times 10^{-5}$			$3.758 \times 10^{-11}$	$5.14 \times 10^3$	$4.50 \times 10^{12}$	0.01
Hulse Taylor Binary Pulsar	0.0738			$0.0414$	$1.25 \times 10^6$	$d = \text{half right l.c.t. dist.}$ $= 5.37 \times 10^8$	0.8831

In comparison with the planets it can be seen that the precession of the

2) Hulse Taylor binary pulsar is orders of magnitude larger than precessions of the planets. In the HT pulsar the Einstein theory fails completely. In the planets,  $\Delta\phi_E \ll \Delta\phi_T$  where  $\Delta\phi_E$  is the result of Einstein's general relativity and  $\Delta\phi_T$  is the experimentally observed total precession. For Neptune,  $\Delta\phi_E$  is five orders of magnitude smaller than  $\Delta\phi_T$ .

So to a rational scientist, it is clear that the Einstein theory fails completely, both for the solar system and for the HT binary pulsar. For the planets Mars to Pluto, and generally  $\Delta\phi_R$  is very difficult to find in literature, so there is nothing with which to compare the claim of EGR, all precession  $\Delta\phi_E$ .

The proponents of the standard model claim that EGR is always very precise. However, there are almost a hundred repetitions of this degradation in the UFT series alone, so the logographic claims have become wildly irrational, and have lost all touch with science and reality.

The universal law of precession, Eq. (1), is based on the widely accepted ECE2 theory, and expresses all precessions in terms of the angular velocity  $\omega$  of frame rotation, due to spacetime torsion. The previous note showed that the observed precession of the Hulse Taylor binary pulsar, 0.0738 radians per earth year, is produced by an angular velocity of

$$\omega(\text{HT pulsar}) = 5.65 \times 10^{-3} \text{ radians per second}$$

-(2)

Eq. (2) is an exact description of the experimental data, achieved with the caged ground.

) For the planet Mercury, the total observed precession of  $2.778 \times 10^{-4}$  radians per earth year is described exactly with an angular velocity of

$$\omega(\text{Mercury, total precession}) = 1.099 \times 10^{-5} \text{ radians per second} \quad -(3)$$

The total precession of Mercury is all that is observable.

The precession of

$$\Delta\phi_R = 2.090 \times 10^{-6} \text{ radians per earth year} \quad -(4)$$

for Mercury is derived from  $\Delta\phi_T$  by "subtracting the influence of other planets". This is a dubious procedure which has been heavily criticized in the literature. Using:

$$1.0 \text{ radian} = 2.06265 \times 10^5 \text{ arc seconds} \quad -(5)$$

It is found that

$$\Delta\phi_R(\text{Mercury}) = 0.4311'' \text{ per earth year} \quad -(6)$$

$$= 43.11'' \text{ per earth century}$$

However, the actually observed precession is  $5730''$  per earth century, more than 100 times larger. Therefore it is standard model, about 99% of the observed precession is removed as being due to the influence of other planets. This is a nineteenth century procedure based on Newtonian methods, and not preceded or EGR. Because EGR applied to Mercury for all the planets is wildly self-consistent. If Mercury for example  $5686.89''$  per earth century is attributed to classical dynamics and  $43.11''$  per earth century to theory, the total precession is described by two entirely different theories, and this is absurd. It is clear that the entire precession of Mercury should have been analyzed with EGR. This makes the calculations invalid because an N body problem has to be analyzed with EGR.

+ The ULP reduces the total observed precession of  $5730''$  per year to a net angular velocity of frame rotation of  $1.99 \times 10^{-5}$  radian per second. This is vastly smaller than EGR, which is it readily insatiable.

The results for the planets are given in Table 2 below.

Planet	Total Precession (arcsecs/Earth century)*	Fitter Field Equation (arcsecs/Earth century)
Mercury	5,730	43.11
Venus	2,040	8.65
Earth	11,450	2.07
Mars	16,280	1.36
Jupiter	6,550	0.063
Saturn	19,500	0.0157
Uranus	3,340	0.00239
Neptune	360	0.00078
Pluto		0.00042

\* Taken from the Fitzgerald site (fauville) at the University of Texas. Note that there is a factor of ten error in the Fitzgerald site. It records the total precession as being  $5730''$ , but the error is  $\pm 573$ . This error is covered in the table, which is cross checked against other sources.

It becomes immediately clear from Table 2 that the Fitter field equation is wildly lowered; it gives only a tiny fraction of the observed precession. Furthermore from Mars to Pluto, there are no experimental data with which to compare the Fitter field equation. The obsolete standard model claims that the EGR is always precise. If so, the experimental precessions would be the same as the EGR precessions in Table 2. For Saturn for example, it would necessary to isolate a precession of  $0.014''$

5) per cent centring out precision from a total precession of 19,500 " a century. The ratio of the two precessions is

$$\frac{\Delta\phi_R}{\Delta\phi_T} = \frac{0.0137}{19,500} = 7.02 \times 10^{-7} \quad (7)$$

The obsolete standard model claims that 19,499.986 " per cent century are due to Newtonian effects. In order to isolate a precession of 0.014 " per cent century accurately, a precision of 0.014 " in 19,500 " would be required, an experimental precision of  $(1 \pm 7.02 \times 10^{-7})$  " a century.

The precision in Table 7-2 of Maha and Thoron, 3rd edition, repeated in the 4th edition, is nowhere near this. Re-table records only the values of  $\Delta\phi_R$ :

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\phi_R(\text{Mercury}) &= (43.11 \pm 0.45) " \text{ a century} \\ \Delta\phi_R(\text{Venus}) &= (8.4 \pm 4.8) " \text{ a century} \\ \Delta\phi_R(\text{Earth}) &= (5.0 \pm 1.2) " \text{ a century}\end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

The values from the Einstein field equation are different from the experimental values, and the total precessions according to Fitzgerald are:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\phi_T(\text{Mercury}) &= 5,730 " \text{ a century} \\ \Delta\phi_T(\text{Venus}) &= 2,040 " \text{ a century} \\ \Delta\phi_T(\text{Earth}) &= 11,450 " \text{ a century}\end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The ratios are

$$\frac{\Delta\phi_R}{\Delta\phi_T} = \frac{43.11}{5730} = 7.524 \times 10^{-3} \quad (10)$$

for Mercury:  $\frac{\Delta\phi_R}{\Delta\phi_T} = \frac{8.65}{2040} = 4.240 \times 10^{-3} \quad (11)$

for Venus:  $\frac{\Delta\phi_R}{\Delta\phi_T} = \frac{2.07}{11,450} = 1.808 \times 10^{-4} \quad (12)$   
and

So to extract  $\Delta\phi_R$  for  $\Delta\phi_T$  for Mercury need an experimental precision of  $(1 \pm 0.0075)''$  a century. However, the precision is  $\frac{1}{2} \times (8)$  or  $0.45 / 43.11 = 0.010$  for Mercury;  $4.8 / 8.4 = 0.571$  for Venus, and  $(\pm 0.24)$  for Earth. To extract  $\Delta\phi_R$  for Venus needs a precision of  $(1 \pm 4.24 \times 10^{-3})''$  a century. To extract  $\Delta\phi_R$  for  $\Delta\phi_T$  for Earth needs a precision of  $(1 \pm 1.81 \times 10^{-4})''$  a century. These results are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3 : Comparison of Precision

Planet	Required Precision	Precision in MT Table 7-2
Mercury	$(1 \pm 0.0075)''$ a century	$(1 \pm 0.01)''$ a century
Venus	$(1 \pm 0.00425)''$ "	$(1 \pm 0.57)''$ "
Earth	$(1 \pm 0.000181)''$ "	$(1 \pm 0.24)''$ "
Mars	$(1 \pm 8.35 \times 10^{-5})''$ "	
Jupiter	$(1 \pm 9.618 \times 10^{-6})''$ "	
Saturn	$(1 \pm 7.026 \times 10^{-7})''$ "	
Uranus	$(1 \pm 7.16 \times 10^{-8})''$ "	
Neptune	$(1 \pm 2.17 \times 10^{-9})''$ "	
Pluto		

This table shows that the required experimental precision needed to extract  $\Delta\phi_R$  is not available, so there is nothing left which to compare the Einstein field equation. The latter cannot therefore be a precision theory. The ULP or the latter and describes all precession in terms of a single factor  $\omega$ , but cannot do precision.

Even if it were possible to measure  $\Delta\phi_R$  experimentally MFT 409 gives a definitive refutation of EFR. It considers only the Einsteinian precession  $\Delta\phi_E$  due to the Einsteinian force and only considers of the geodetic precession  $\Delta\phi_g$  and the

7) Lense-Thirring precession  $\Delta\phi_{LT}$ . There are always present when a mass moves with a velocity  $v$ . Table 4 gives the data of UFT for the terms of arcseconds per Earth century:

Table 4: Einsteinian,

Geodetic and Lense-Thirring Precession

Planet	$\Delta\phi_E$	$\Delta\phi_g$	$\Delta\phi_{LT}$	Total	Observed $\Delta\phi(\text{as})$
Mercury	43.11	20.65	0.00295	63.76	$43.11 \pm 0.45$
Venus	8.65	4.325	$1.986 \times 10^{-4}$	12.98	$8.4 \pm 4.8$
Earth	2.07	1.035	$4.05 \times 10^{-5}$	3.105	$5.0 \pm 1.2$

The inclusion of  $\Delta\phi_g + \Delta\phi_{LT}$  increases the theoretical precession of Mercury to 63.76 " in earth century from 43.11 " on earth century, showing that the agreement  $\Delta\phi(\text{obs})$  with  $\Delta\phi_E$  is fortuitous and meaningless.

The universal law of precession, Eq. (1), is not based on the Einstein field equation and replaces  $\Delta\phi_E$ ,  $\Delta\phi_g$  and  $\Delta\phi_{LT}$  by one law, Eq. (1).