

85(10): Defining the Lamb Shift Calculation in H₁ Schrödinger Equation

First recall that the fully relativistic problem is:

$$\left(\gamma^{\mu} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \right) \not{p}_{\mu} - \frac{mc}{\hbar} - \frac{\alpha}{r} \right) \psi = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\gamma^{\mu} \not{p}_{\mu} \psi = \frac{4\pi}{r_{\text{vac}}} \psi \quad (2)$$

as in previous notes for paper 85. The method is to solve these two equations simultaneously. In the non-relativistic approximation the Schrödinger equation is used:

$$\left(-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{4\pi} \right)^2 - \frac{e^2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r} \right) \psi = E \psi \quad (3)$$

So eqn. (2) becomes:

$$-\frac{\hbar^2 \nabla^2}{2m} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2\pi} + \frac{\alpha^2}{16\pi^2} \right) \psi = \frac{e^2}{4\pi \epsilon_0 r_{\text{vac}}} \psi \quad (4)$$

$$\text{If } \alpha^2 \ll \alpha \quad (5)$$

$$\text{eq. (4) is } \nabla^2 \psi = - \left(\frac{4mc}{\hbar} \right) \frac{1}{r_{\text{vac}}} \psi \quad (6)$$

2) If the H radial orbitals we used in eq. (6) then r_{vac} can be calculated for each orbital.

In spherical polar coordinates:

$$\nabla^2 \psi = \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial r} \quad - (7)$$

1s Orbital

$$\psi(1s) = 2 \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \quad - (8)$$

where:

$$\frac{1}{a} = \frac{me^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 \hbar^2}, \quad \rho = \frac{2r}{na}, \quad n=1 \quad - (9)$$

and $m = \text{reduced mass} \quad - (10)$

2s Orbital

$$\psi(2s) = \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{2-\rho}{2\sqrt{2}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \quad - (11)$$

$$\rho = \frac{2r}{na}, \quad n=2$$

2p Orbital

$$\psi(2p) = \left(\frac{1}{a} \right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} \right) \exp\left(-\frac{\rho}{2}\right) \quad - (12)$$

It is seen from eqns (6) to (12) that r_{vac}

3) is different for each orbital and it is a function of r , the radial coordinate. Therefore the average r_{vac} can be found and denoted $\langle r_{vac} \rangle$.

The Lamb shift in joules is: -(13)

$$\Delta E_{Lamb} = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \left(\frac{1}{\langle r_{vac}^2 \rangle_{2s}^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\langle r_{vac}^2 \rangle_{2p}^{1/2}} \right)$$

The Lamb shift in hertz (s^{-1}) is: -(14)

$$\Delta E_{Lamb} (\text{hertz}) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 h} \left(\frac{1}{\langle r_{vac}^2 \rangle_{2s}^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\langle r_{vac}^2 \rangle_{2p}^{1/2}} \right)$$

The Lamb shift in wavenumbers (cm^{-1}) is: -(15)

$$\Delta E_{Lamb} (\text{wavenumbers}) = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 hc} \left(\frac{1}{\langle r_{vac}^2 \rangle_{2s}^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\langle r_{vac}^2 \rangle_{2p}^{1/2}} \right)$$

where:
$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 hc} \quad \text{-(16)}$$

is the fine structure constant.

We have:

$$\omega = 2\pi f = 2\pi \bar{\nu} c \quad \text{-(17)}$$

$$\Delta \bar{\nu} (\text{Lamb}) = 0.007297(34) \left(\frac{1}{\langle r_{vac}^2 \rangle_{2s}^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\langle r_{vac}^2 \rangle_{2p}^{1/2}} \right) \quad \text{-(18)}$$

cm^{-1}

4) Experimental Lamb shift

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta f(2s-2p) &= 1.060 \text{ GHz} \\ &= \frac{1.060}{30} \text{ cm}^{-1} \\ &= 0.0353 \text{ cm}^{-1}, \quad - (19) \end{aligned}$$

So:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{\langle r_{\text{vac}}^2 \rangle_{2s}^{1/2}} - \frac{1}{\langle r_{\text{vac}}^2 \rangle_{2p}^{1/2}} &= \frac{0.0353}{0.007297(34)} \text{ cm}^{-1} \\ &= 4.837 \text{ cm}^{-1} \quad - (20) \end{aligned}$$

The shift in energy due to this shift is:

$$\Delta E(\text{Lamb}) = \frac{e^2}{(4\pi\epsilon_0) 4.837} \text{ joules} \quad - (21)$$

We denote:

$$\boxed{\langle \Delta \bar{v} \rangle_{\text{vac}} = 4.837 \text{ cm}^{-1}} \quad - (22)$$

which occurs in the far infra-red.

The next step is to work out $\langle r_{\text{vac}}^2 \rangle_{2s}^{1/2}$ and $\langle r_{\text{vac}}^2 \rangle_{2p}^{1/2}$ analytically from eqns. (6) to (12), bearing in mind that this is a non-relativistic approximation, but it is a very good one.

i) It is known that in absence of d ,

$$E_L(2s) = E_L(2p) \quad - (23)$$

The absence of d can be regarded mathematically as:

$$d \rightarrow 0, \quad \Delta E_{\text{Lamb}} \rightarrow 0 \quad - (24)$$

So:

$$\langle \Delta \bar{n} \rangle_{\text{vac}} \rightarrow \frac{0}{0} \quad - (25)$$

from eq. (16), so $\langle r_{\text{vac}}^2 \rangle_{2s}^{1/2}$ and $\langle r_{\text{vac}}^2 \rangle_{2p}^{1/2}$ become indeterminate.

In eq. (6):

$$\left. \begin{aligned} m &= 9.10953 \times 10^{-31} \text{ kg} \\ c &= 2.997925 \times 10^8 \text{ m s}^{-1} \\ \hbar &= 6.62618 \times 10^{-34} \text{ Js} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{Atkins' values}$$

$$\langle \text{Compton wavelength of deuteron} \rangle = \frac{\hbar}{mc} = 2.426 \times 10^{-12} \text{ m},$$

So: $\frac{mc}{\hbar} = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_c}$ and:

$$\nabla^2 \psi = - \frac{8\pi}{\lambda_c} \frac{1}{r_{\text{vac}}} \psi$$

We have

$$\lambda_c = 2.426 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}$$

From eq. (20), this is affected by:

$$\Delta \lambda_c = \frac{2.426 \times 10^{-10}}{4.837} \sim 0.50 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}$$

$$\sim 0.005 \text{ \AA}$$